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Objectives

• Introduce tools for communication throughout the continuum of care
• Discuss key elements necessary when working with hospital staff and administration
• Understand important ethical distinctions that arise in care of the family in organ donation
• Apply the tools and ethical principles to two cases involving care of the family
• As small groups, discuss common barriers to improving the family experience
breakout into small groups @ 30 min
Reminder regarding Cases

• Cases are based on actual clinical experiences and personal details have been changed.
• Please respect the privacy and confidentiality of the actual patients and families behind these de-identified cases.
• The cases presented may not include all the information you may want in order to make your recommendation.
Nothing to disclose
When it’s personal, all bets are off

Sam Caplet “Don’t Let Go”
Defining Scope

This session is intended to address the communication needs of patients and families imminently facing the natural end of their life

• Determination of death by neurologic criteria
• Death after cardiopulmonary cessation
  – Palliative Extubation
  – DCDD
**Clinical Care Common Terms:**
Limitations of Professional Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IN THE PRACTICE ITSELF</th>
<th>IN THE CONTEXT OF THE PRACTICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TERMINAL ILLNESS:</strong></td>
<td><strong>NON-MANAGEABLE CONDITION:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A serious medical condition for which no cure is available or for which treatments have failed the patient. The patient is more likely to die from this condition than from some other cause</td>
<td>A serious medical condition in which the treatment can no longer improve or maintain a person’s functional ability or an acceptable quality of life; patient cannot pursue life goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MEDICALLY NON-BENEFICIAL INTERVENTION:</strong></td>
<td><strong>CLINICALLY NON-FEASIBLE INTERVENTION:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An intervention that cannot produce a sustained clinical effect that is beneficial to the patient medically</td>
<td>An intervention or plan of care that is not possible to perform or complete due to circumstances beyond the control of the medical system or community</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Duty to protect life or prevent death is NOT absolute
  – Withholding vs. Withdrawing
  – Killing vs. Allowing Natural Death
Goals of Clinical Medicine

• Promotion of health and prevention of disease
• Maintenance or improvement of quality of life through relief of symptoms, pain, and suffering
• Cure of disease
  • *Prevention of untimely death*
• Improvement of functional status or maintenance of compromised status
• Education and counseling of patients regarding their *condition and prognosis*
• Avoidance of harm to the patient in the course of care
• *Contributing to a good death*

-Jonsen, et al. Clinical Ethics
What is Palliative Care?

- Primary
- Secondary
- Tertiary
You’re sick. It’s serious.

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yCeZ4bGLd8g
“Suffering can be defined as the state of severe distress associated with events that threaten the intactness of the person. It continues until the threat has passed or until the integrity of the person can be restored in some manner.”

Eight Domains of Quality Palliative Care

1. Structure & process of care
2. Physical
3. Psychological & Psychiatric
4. Social
5. Spiritual, Religious & Existential
6. Cultural
7. Care of the imminently dying patient
8. Ethical & Legal aspects of care
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Webinars & Virtual Office Hours
Discussion Forum
The IPAL Project
IPAL-ICU

Improving Palliative Care in the ICU

Full access to IPAL-ICU, as well as CAPC tools and technical assistance, is reserved for members. Log in now if you're from a member institution. Or to learn more about membership, please call Rosie Aponte, Membership Associate, at 212-824-9574.

Little more than a decade ago intensive care and palliative care were thought to be mutually exclusive; they were seen as sequential approaches to critical illness. Today we recognize that from the time of admission to the ICU, all critically ill patients and their families should benefit from palliative care. Palliative care should therefore be provided concurrently with intensive care therapies.
Case #1

- 42 yo gentleman with anoxic injury after sudden cardiac arrest
- Underwent therapeutic hypothermia protocol
- No improvement in neurologic status 48 hours after normothermic
- Intractable myoclonus
Case #1

- Family discusses palliative extubation
- Patient was first person authorized donor
- Communicated and set expectations regarding DCDD process
- Discussed extubation procedure with family
Case #1

- Palliative Care Team consult for Palliative Extubation
- Checklist for extubation procedure and symptom management used
- Palliative care physician, RN, Chaplain, OPO representatives at bedside
Legacy Work
Ring Theory of Personhood

Societal
Relational
Individual
Innate

Krishna, 2014.
Barriers to Supporting Bereaved Family Members

- Not knowing what to say
- Not sure how to deal with emotion
- Lack of knowledge about community resource
- High clinical workload
- Lack of continuity or established relationship with the patient or family member

Loss related Risks for Complex Grief

• Relationship and caretaking roles
  – Spouses
  – Mothers of dependent children
  – Caretakers for chronically ill

• Nature of the death itself
  – Violent, sudden, prolonged, suicide

• Mortality in Intensive Care Unit
  – 34 to 67% of surviving family members have CG

Other Risk Factors

• Social circumstances
• Resources available after death
• **Unknown:** Lack of information/understanding of the circumstances of the death event
• Interference with natural healing process:
  – Inability to follow usual cultural mourning
  – **Alcohol or substance abuse**
  – **Lack of social support**
Right course of action is known
Unable to complete due to institutionalized obstacles

Unease
Questioning
Unclear right course of action

Multiple conflicting but morally justifiable courses of action
Unclear course of action

Moral Distress
Moral Uncertainty
Moral Dilemma

DISCOMFORT
Case #2

- 28 yo woman with GSW to head
- GCS 3, Initially with intractable seizure despite ativan/valium
- Phenobarbital used to control seizure
- Brain death suspected, awaited clearance of phenobarb
Case #2

- Family brought up donation while awaiting phenobarb clearance
- Brain death suspected after mutually agreed upon waiting time
- Brain death declared clinically, Nuclear Brain Scan* ordered as adjunct determining irreversibility
Case #2

• In the process of finding donor organ recipients, patient had cough reflex
• Brain death examination repeated, no longer consistent with brain death- time of death recanted.
• Family devastated
VALUE Mnemonic

- V = Value family statements
- A = Acknowledge emotion
- L = Listen to the family
- U = Understand the patient as a person
- E = Elicit family questions

Tool Belt

“Ironworker’s Tools” National Museum of American History, Smithsonian
Communication Tool Belt

• Physical tools - self and environment
• Emotional tools
• Cognitive tools
• Orchestrating tools

Physical Tools

- Using self and environment to aid communication
  - Location
  - Body language
  - Arrangement
  - Mirroring
  - Appearance
  - Attendees
Emotional Tools

• Interacting with emotion to aid communication
  – Active Listening – requires empathy
    • Therapeutic presence
    • Echoing “so I hear you saying”
  – Naming
  – Validating
  – Normalizing
  – Silence
  – Rationalize
  – De-escalation
  – Self monitoring

Landzaat & Porter-Williamson
Cognitive Tools: Part 1

• Identify barriers
  – Knowledge deficit
  – Language barrier
  – Cultural barrier
  – Learning disability
  – Psychosocial barrier
  – Hearing disability
  – Visual disability

• Teaching Methods
  – Interpretation of medical language
  – Visual aids
  – Analogy/metaphor
  – Gestures
  – Reframing

Landzaat & Porter-Williamson
Cognitive Tools: Part 2

• Check for understanding, clarify
  – Summarize
  – “Take one for the team” clarification
  – Request questions
  – Request a teach-back
Orchestrating Tools

• Mode
  – Verbal vs. written
  – Open vs. closed questions
  – “painting a picture” with body movement

• Approach
  – Paternalism (“owning” plan of care)
  – Aligning (allying, partnering)
  – Permissive (accommodating, avoiding)
  – Neutral (Impartial)
Orchestrating Tools

• Delivery
  – Titration of information (simple vs. detailed)
  – Organization/Prioritizing
  – Tempo
    • Rate
    • Rhythm
    • Pauses
    • Interrupting
    • Redirecting
  – Manner:
    • soft, gentle vs. direct, blunt

Landzaat & Porter-Williamson
Code Status: Language Matters

Do Not Resuscitate → Do Not Attempt Resuscitation → Allow Natural Death
Spectrum of Shared Decision Making

Diagnosis

Patient Directed Autonomy

Adaptive Coping

Clinically Directed Paternalism

Death

Maladaptive Coping

Kockler, N.
Autonomy & Reciprocity

• Does the patient/family understand what’s wrong?
• What do they think is a good outcome?
• What is my patient’s cultural, religious, or ethnic point of view?
• Who does the patient trust to make decisions for them if they lack capacity?
• What are my patient’s goals and aspirations?
• What/Who are my patient’s support system?
Cultivating therapeutic presence in midst of conflict
What are the barriers you encounter in caring for patients and families during the donation process?

Break out into discussion groups of 4-6 people around you
Who decides?

– Surrogate decision making
– What about the “unbefriended/unrepresented” patient?
– When ought a guardian be appointed?
– Medical Paternalism v. Autonomy in unilateral decisions
Substituted Interests

- When the patient’s wishes are unknown
- Combines universal principles with patient’s known values & interests
- Requires empathy, connection and trust
Self Care

When you do the physically and emotionally hard work of caregiving and bearing witness to suffering.

You must cultivate tools to nourish your soul.
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